भारतसरकार /GOVERNMENT OF INDIA खानमंत्रालय /MINISTRY OF MINES

भारतीयखानब्यूरो /INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

गुवाहाटीक्षेत्रीयकार्यालय / GUWAHATI REGIONAL OFFICE

House No. 216, 3rd Floor, Above IDBI Chandmari, Post – Bamunimaidan, Dist – Kamrup (M), Guwahati, Assam-781021

दूरभाष सं. : / फॅक्ससं :.0361 – 2656184, ई.मेल: sro.guwahati@ibm.gov.in Tel/Fax – 0361-2656184; email –sro.guwahati@ibm.gov.in

File No. IBM/GHY/AS/K.A/LST/MS-74

Date: 04/04/2017

To,

Shri B.V.N. Prasad, Director (HR) & Owner

Dillaiparbat Limestone Mine

M/s Cement Corporation of India

Vill-Koilajan

P.O-Bokajan Cement Factory

Dist-Karbi Anglong

Assam-782490

Sub:- Scrutiny Comments on Draft Review Mining Plan along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Dillai Parbat Limestone Mine of 171.00 hectares near village-Koilajan, P.O-Bokajan Cement Factory. District Karbi Anglong, Assam, submitted under Rule 17(2) of MCR 2016 & 23(B) of MCDR 1988.

Ref: i) Your letter No- BKJ/Q/IBM/255/2016-17/2106 Dated. 16/03/2017

ii) This office letter No.- IBM/GHY/AS/K.A/LST/MS-74 Dated. 21/03/2017

Sir,

Please refer to your letter on the subject cited above. The draft Review of Mining Plan along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in respect of Dillai Parbat limestone mines over an area of 171.00 hect. near village Koilajan, P.O-Bokajan Cement Factory, East Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya submitted under Rule 17(2) of MCR 2016 & 23 (B) of MCDR 1988 has been examined in this office on the basis of the site inspection on 30.03.2017 By Shri S. K. Mohapatra, Senior Mining Geologist, Regional Office, Guwahati. The scrutiny comments made on the draft Review of Mining Plan along with Progressive Mine Closure Plan, submitted by you, are enclosed herewith as Annexure-I.

In this regard you are advised to rectify the deficiencies and submit final three bound copies and two soft copies in DVD, texts in form of MS word and plans in Auto CAD and JPG format of the Review of Mining Plan along with Progressive Mine closure Plan and Bank Guarantee of Rs. 2.49,00000/- (Rupees two crore forty nine lakh only) as financial assurance for the broken area of 82.58 hectares @ Rs. 3,00,000/- per hectare for the plan period as per Rule 27 of MCDR, 2017 to this office within 15 (Fifteen) days from the date of issue of this letter for further necessary action. The para wise clarification and the manner in which the deficiencies are attended should be invariably being given while submitting the Mining Plan.

It may be noted that no further extension of time in this regard will be entertained and the document will considered for rejection if not submitted within above due date and the deficiencies are not attended properly.

Encl: As above.

Yours faithfully

(G. C. Meena)

Regional Controller of Mines

Copy to:

- 1) The Controller of Mines (CZ), IBM, Nagpur.
- 2) Shri Ajit Shukla (Qualified Person), Core-5, SCOPE Complex, 7-Lodhi Road, New Delhi
- 3) Shri Sharad Kumar,(RQP), Dillai Parbat Limestone Mine, Karbi Anglong, Assam

G. C. Meena)

Regional Controller of Mines

Scrutiny Comments on Draft Review of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan of Dilai Parbat Limestone Mine over an area of 171.00 Ha in Village Koilajan, taluka-Bokajan, Dist- Karbi Anglong, Assam of M/s. Cement Corporation of India Limited, Submitted by Shri Ajit Shukla & Shri Sharad Kumar (Qualified Persons) under Rule 17(2) of MCR 2016 & 23 (B) of MCDR 1988.

TEXT

- 1. The statutory rules and provisions under which the review of mining plan along with progressive mine closure plan has been prepared and submitted (i.e. under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 and Rule 23 of MCDR 2017) are incorrectly quoted on the cover page, certificate and other places of the plan. This defect should be rectified.
- 2. In Introduction chapter, it has been mentioned that review of mining plan is being submitted for the period 2017-18 to 2021-22 coinciding with the period of expiry of the mining lease i.e. 31.03.2020 needs to be rectified as per Rule 8 (A) (8) of MMDR Amendment Act, 2015.
- 3. Documentary evidence of approved mining plan/ scheme of mining/review of mining plan along with PMCP need to be annexed.
- 4. Resolution copy of nominated owner needs to be annexed.
- 5. In page no-12, Reason for deviation under exploitation is not satisfactory and should be recast with exact reason for non achievement. Further compliance for the proposed period need not to furnish.
- Resource and reserves calculated as per UNFC seems to be incorrect. Qualified person is
 advised to estimate the resource/reserve with proper guideline and code of UNFC table is to
 be revised accordingly especially for remaining resources i.e. for feasibility/pre-feasibility
 mineral resources.
- 7. Future proposed production in the review of mining plan period is about 598900 tons per annum, but as per last five year production details you have achieved only 208474 tons at higher side and 93597 tons at lower side. Based on the above factors you are advised to revise the five year production proposal with proper justification and actual requirement.
- 8. Year-wise development: Bench height, width, bench slope angle, name of the section along which development has been proposed, location, direction of advancement, top RL, bottom RL, quantity of ROM, quantity of generation of waste, stripping ratio, etc should be furnished in tabular form under each year-wise development proposal.
- 9. Conceptual mining Plan: Conceptual plan should be prepared as per the life of the mine i.e. as per per Rule 8 (A) (8) of MMDR Amendment Act, 2015. Based on the validity of the lease period, conceptual plan required to be prepare and dimension of the pit, generation and disposal of waste, protective measures, reclamation and rehabilitation programme during plan period and conceptual period should be describe under separate sub-headings with desired calculation.
- 10. Calculation of machineries as per the production schedule with proper justification should be furnished.

- 11. Detailed description of present mineral beneficiation needs to be furnish i.e. size of the input, output, nature of transportation and in between process.
- 12. Employment Potential; No of drivers, operators, helpers, etc should be appropriate as per the list of machineries.
- 13. Financial Assurance; Bank Guarantee has to be submitted as per Rule 27 of MCDR 2017 to RCOM, IBM, Guwahati.
- 14. Feasibility report is not enclosed with the review of mining plan, which is mandatory.
- 15. Certificate of competent Surveyor who conducted the field survey of the area should be annexed.
- 16. Qualified person is advised to signature with name mentioned below in each and every page of the document along with the annexures.

PLATES

- 1. Index for safety barrier zone has not shown, section lines and ultimate pit limit should be removed from surface plan.
- 2. Dip & strike has not shown in geological plan but shown in index, safety barrier zone needs to be shown on geological sections.
- Year-wise development Plans & sections: Year-wise proposals required to be modified as per
 the revised proposal in both plans and sections and year-wise plantation should be shown in
 different colors in respective plans.
- 4. Land use pattern and settlements, roads, monitoring stations and other permanent features within 500m radius boundary are not shown in environment plan. Further 60m radius boundary should be rectified in environment plan.
- 5. Conceptual plans and sections should be prepared considering the geological Plan & sections. The back filling shown in conceptual plan should be furnished with proper justification both in text and maps with proper index.
- 6. Financial assurance table should be furnished in the financial assurance plan.
